

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/308,047	07/01/99	KUBEIN-MEESENBURG	D	1920/47784
_		QM32/0620	E	EXAMINER
EVENSON MCKEOWN EDWARDS & LENAHAN			SMITH,J	Г
1200 G STRE	ET NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 700 WASHINGTON	DC 20005		3732	6
			DATE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

06/20/00

# Application No.

09/308,047

Applicantis)

Kubein-Meesenburg et al

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Jeffrey A. Smith

Group Art Unit 3732



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 1, 1999	·································
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure t application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing  The drawing(s) filed on	ed to by the Examiner.  is approved disapproved.  under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  the priority documents have been  her)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Notice of Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON T	THE FOLLOWING BACES

Application/Control Number: 09/308,047

inplification, control number. co, occ, cir

Art Unit: 3732

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Drawings

The drawings are objected to because:

"D" (two occurrences) (Fig. 2) should be accompanied by appropriate subscripts; and

Page 2

"9" (Fig. 9) should read as --10--.

Correction is required.

Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this Office action. However, formal correction of the noted defect can be deferred until the application is allowed by the examiner.

### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

"second [sic]" (page 2, line 3) should be deleted;

the brief description of Figures 1-11 at page 4 should

provide more specifics with regard to what the

Figures depict;

• 40 •

Application/Control Number: 09/308,047

Art Unit: 3732

" $\alpha = \alpha 2 + \alpha 1$ " (page 4, line 21) should read as  $--\alpha = \alpha 2$   $-\alpha 1 -- \text{ as per the mathematical description of an}$  overlaid dimeric chain at page 2, lines 22-27);and

"contact line F2" (page 5, line 14) should read as --contact line L2--.

Page 3

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are largely narrative and to not clearly set forth the structure of the artificial joint. Moreover, language such as "in particular endoprosthesis for replacement of natural joints" and "and where the second joint side is designed accordingly" is indefinite as it is not clear what purpose or further limitation this language presents. Additionally, the format of the claim is awkward and appears to be a direct translation of the priority document. The Examiner suggests placing the claims in a format more readily accepted for US

•

Application/Control Number: 09/308,047 Page 4

Art Unit: 3732

prosecution. The claims have been interpreted as best understood. Applicant must make the appropriate corrections.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Pappas (U.S. Patent No. 5,507,820).

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The additional references cited disclose various features which are similar to those disclosed by Applicant.

Application/Control Number: 09/308,047 Page 5

Art Unit: 3732

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey A. Smith whose telephone number is (703) 308-3588.

Peffrey A. Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

jas June 18, 2000